



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/673,115

09/26/2003

Andrew D. Flockhart

4366-108

2014

48500

7590

05/12/2009

SHERIDAN ROSS P.C.

1560 BROADWAY, SUITE 1200

DENVER, CO 80202

EXAMINER

KARDOS, NEIL R

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3623

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

05/12/2009

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/673,115	<b>Applicant(s)</b> FLOCKHART ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Neil R. Kardos	<b>Art Unit</b> 3623	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Neil R. Kardos. (3) Doug Swartz.

(2) Beth Boswell. (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 20 April 2009.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: n/a.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-4 and 9-11.

Identification of prior art discussed: Burok.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed how to clarify the disclosed algorithm to overcome 112 rejections while maintaining support from the specification. Discussed how to amend the specification to clarify the disclosed algorithm without adding new matter. Discussed potential amendments to overcome the prior art.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Neil R. Kardos/ Examiner, Art Unit 3623	/Beth V. Boswell/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3623
---	---